

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

			· -	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,938	06/26/2001	David Finn	70128	5330
·	590 03/24/2003			
	TUTTLE, PC		EXAMI	NER
SCARBOROU SCARBOROU	GH STATION GH, NY 10510		TREMBLAY, MARK STEPHEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2	approved, corrected drawings are required in report of the control of the exer 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Application from the International Butthe attached detailed Office action for a list owledgment is made of a claim for domestic. The translation of the foreign language profowledgment is made of a claim for domestic deferences. Cited (PTO-892) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.	aminer. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) as have been received. Is have been received in Application ity documents have been received reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)), of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) visional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 4) Interview Summary Notice of Information	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application). eived.				
2.[3.[* See t 14)[Ackn a) [15)[Ackn	approved, corrected drawings are required in report of the control of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Application from the International Butthe attached detailed Office action for a list owledgment is made of a claim for domestic. The translation of the foreign language pro	aminer. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(and see the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(and see the priority documents have been received reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(and see the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application). eived.				
2.[3.[* See t 14)[] Ackn a) []	approved, corrected drawings are required in report of the control of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Application from the International Butthe attached detailed Office action for a list owledgment is made of a claim for domestic. The translation of the foreign language pro	aminer. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(and see the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(and see the priority documents have been received reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(and see the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application). eived.				
2.☐ 3.☐ * See t 14)☐ Ackn	approved, corrected drawings are required in report of a claim for foreign and 120 completed to by the Exter 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 completed to by the Exter 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 completed to be a claim for foreign and be a claim for foreign completed copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified	aminer. n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) shave been received. shave been received in Application ity documents have been received reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application).				
2. <u></u>	approved, corrected drawings are required in report of a claim for foreign and by the Exter 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 chowledgment is made of a claim for foreign by Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the Copies of the Copies of the Copies Open Copies	aminer. n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(as have been received. s have been received in Application in the priority documents have been received reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2.	approved, corrected drawings are required in report of the control of the priority documents. Copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.	aminer. n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(as have been received. s have been received in Application in the contraction of the	on No				
	approved, corrected drawings are required in report oath or declaration is objected to by the Exter 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign blib Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents	aminer. n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a s have been received.					
1.[approved, corrected drawings are required in reposition of the content of the co	aminer. n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
i	approved, corrected drawings are required in re oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	aminer.	a)-(d) or (f).				
	approved, corrected drawings are required in recoath or declaration is objected to by the Exer 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	aminer.	a)-(d) or (f).				
13) Ack	approved, corrected drawings are required in report oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
	approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
		ply to this Office action.					
	Proposed arawing conceiled filed on	/- abbiosog b/- disabbig	OVOG DY INC EXAMINET.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
	e specification is objected to by the Examine		and to an				
	•						
8) Cla	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
1	aim(s) is/are objected to.						
l .	6) Claim(s) <u>17-32</u> is/are rejected.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
1	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
1	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
Disposition	of Claims		4 03 O.G. 213.				
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	·	nis action is non-final.					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· ·					
I HE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to	ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Find for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuty received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ARANDON	imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.				
	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE MO	NTH(S) FROM				
Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
		Mark Tremblay	2876				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Application No. 09/830,938	Applicant(s) FINN ET AL.				

Serial Number: 09/830,938

Paper #0319, Page 2

Applicant: Finn et al.

Filing date: 10/28/99

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 27, "the clear surface" has no antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent #5,557,096 to Watanabe et al. ("Watanabe" hereinafter). Watanabe teaches an identification label with a transponder unit for surface mounting on or mounting around an object, the label having a multi layered structure comprising:

an identification layer 2 for optical marking (see figure 1);

a reinforcement layer 46 (see column 11) for mechanical stabilization of the identification layer, said reinforcement layer forming a substrate with the transponder unit 51 arranged thereon; and

an adhesion layer for mounting the identification label on the object (see column 11, lines 62-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

10

5

15

25

20

Serial Number: 09/830,938

Paper #0319, Page 3

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 18-32, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Watanabe. Watanabe teaches the features of the invention as described above, but fails to teach certain of the features in the dependent claims.

Re claims 18-20, 26, Watanabe teaches layers comprising at least 217, where 217 clearly provides a boundary between the upper layers and the adhesive layer. The reinforcement layer for preventing stress is not shown in these figures. The adhesive layer for fixing the label to packages is not show in other figures. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the adhesive layer, including a boundary layer 217 between the adhesive layer and the other layers, with the reinforcing layer, because this would provide both the ability to affix the label to packages and to resist any bending stresses that may be created in the affixing of the label to the package or any subsequent stresses. The adhesive layer is also covered with a deadening layer 218.

Re claim 21, a window or aperture is inherently provided in the layers for accepting the circuit, since it is embedded in the layers, and cannot occupy the same space at the same time as the layers.

Re claim 22, see figure 66a.

Re claims 24-25, the antenna in numerous embodiments is positioned on the

15

10

5

20

25

30

Serial Number: 09/830,938

Paper #0319, Page 4

reinforcement layer, and the adhesive covers the bottom side, forming a plane adhesion surface.

Re claim 29, Watanabe teaches a carrier layer 218a.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U. S. Patent #5,153,842 to Dlugos, Sr. et al. showing another transponder unit which may be adhesively affixed to a package.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 27 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: while stacked sheets with intervening separator or deadening layers are well known in the art, this claim provides for using the clear surface of one base unit as the deadening layer of another unit. Only improper hindsight would allow the examiner to combine all the elements of the prior art to meet the limitations of this claim.

20 Voice

Inquiries for the Examiner should be directed to Mark Tremblay at (703) 305-5176. The Examiner's regular office hours are 10:30 am to 7:00 pm EST Monday to Friday. Voice mail is available. If Applicant has trouble contacting the Examiner, the Supervisory Patent Examiner, Michael Lee, can be reached on (703) 305-3503. Technical questions and comments concerning PTO procedures may be directed to the Patent Assistance Center hotline at 1-800-786-9199 or (703) 308-4357.

MARK TREMBLAY

March 19, 2003

30

25

5

10

15